Finite-Size Scaling Analysis of the Eigenstate Thermalization Hypothesis in a One-Dimensional Interacting Bose gas
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By calculating correlation functions of the Lieb-Liniger model based on the algebraic Bethe ansatz method, we conduct a finite-size scaling analysis of the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) which is considered to be a possible mechanism of thermalization for isolated quantum systems, and find that the ETH holds in the thermodynamic limit even for an integrable system. However, we show that the ETH gives only a logarithmic correction to other thermalization mechanisms such as the eigenstate randomization hypothesis and the typicality argument for the microcanonical ensemble.
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Introduction.— Recently, there has been a resurgence of interest in understanding thermalization from quantum mechanics due in part to highly developed experimental techniques in ultra-cold atomic gases which enable one to prepare, control, and measure isolated quantum systems [1,2], and also due to several theoretical breakthroughs [3–10]. Among the underlying mechanisms proposed so far for thermalization in isolated quantum systems are the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis (ETH) [11–13], the typicality of quantum states with large dimensions [14,15], and the eigenstate randomization hypothesis (ERH) [22]. The ETH, which has attracted growing attention [11–13], states that the expectation value of an observable stays the same over many-body eigenstates having close eigenenergies. The validity of the ETH has been examined by using the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian [13–17]. It has been claimed that the ETH holds for the case in which the system is non-integrable or chaotic [13,15–17]. These studies focus on the dependence on the characteristics of the system (such as geometrical configurations, sets of parameters, etc.) for a fixed size of the system. On the other hand, the ERH states that the expectation value of an observable over consecutive many-body eigenstates randomly fluctuates, and the typicality argument concerns statistical-mechanical properties that are shared by randomly generated quantum states from a large-dimensional Hilbert space. Although all of the ETH, ERH, and typicality argument concerns thermalization, it has not been quantitatively discussed which of those mechanisms dominantly contributes to thermalization. To resolve this problem, it is essential to analyze the finite-size scaling of the ETH. However, this goal has not been achieved yet because the numerical cost to diagonalize the Hamiltonian grows exponentially with the size of the system.

In this Letter, we identify the finite-size scaling property of the ETH by calculating the correlation functions at finite temperatures for the Lieb-Liniger model [18] which allows us to obtain the exact many-body eigenstates by the Bethe ansatz and thus to circumvent the numerical difficulty in diagonalizing the Hamiltonian. We show that the ETH becomes better satisfied for larger systems. This suggests that the microcanonical ensemble is still useful to calculate non-conserving quantities in the thermodynamic limit, while the generalized microcanonical or Gibbs ensemble lead to better predictions in small integrable systems [19,21]. Then, by using a finite-size scaling of the ETH, we discuss the quantitative relation between the ETH and other scenarios of thermalization in isolated quantum systems such as the ERH [22] and typicality argument [6,7]. We find that the ETH contributes to thermalization at most as a logarithmic correction to the ERH and typicality.

Model.— The Lieb-Liniger model describes a one-dimensional Bose gas with a delta-function interaction. The Hamiltonian is given in units of $\hbar = 2m = 1$ as

$$H = \int_0^L dx \left[ \partial_x \Psi^\dagger(x) \partial_x \Psi(x) + c \Psi^\dagger(x) \Psi(x) \Psi(x) \Psi(x) \right],$$

where $\Psi(x)$ is the bosonic field operator, $L$ the linear dimension of the system, $c$ the magnitude of the contact interaction, and the periodic boundary condition is imposed. The $N$-body eigenstates are constructed from the monodromy matrix [22] given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A(\lambda) & B(\lambda) \\ C(\lambda) & D(\lambda) \end{pmatrix} = : \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ -i \int_0^L dx \left( \begin{array}{cc} \lambda/2 & \sqrt{c} \Psi^\dagger(x) \\ -\sqrt{c} \Psi(x) & -\lambda/2 \end{array} \right) \right],$$

(2)

where $\mathcal{P}$ and $: \cdots :$ denote path ordering and normal ordering of bosonic field operators, respectively. The $N$-body eigenstate can be obtained by acting $B(\lambda)$ $N$-times on the Fock vacuum $|0\rangle$, $|\{\lambda_j\}\rangle \equiv \prod_{j=1}^N B(\lambda_j) |0\rangle$, provided that the set of parameters $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^N$ satisfy the Bethe equations

$$e^{i\lambda_j L} = -\prod_{k=1}^N \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k + ic}{\lambda_j - \lambda_k - ic} \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots, N).$$

(3)
Since the energy and momentum of this state are given as $E = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2$ and $P = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j$, respectively, the set of parameters $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{N}$ may be regarded as the momenta of the dressed noninteracting particles.

**Correlation Function.** We consider the expectation values of the quantity $\Psi^\dagger(x)\Psi(0)$ over each eigenstate, i.e., $\langle\{\lambda_j\}|\Psi^\dagger(x)\Psi(0)|\{\lambda_j\}\rangle$. Substituting $\Psi^\dagger(x) = e^{-ipx}\Psi(0)e^{ipx}$ and inserting the completeness relation for the $(N-1)$-body eigenstates, we obtain

$$\langle\{\lambda_j\}|\Psi^\dagger(x)\Psi(0)|\{\lambda_j\}\rangle = \sum_{\{\mu_k\}} e^{-i\sum_j \lambda_j - \sum_k \mu_k x} |\langle\{\mu_k\}|\Psi(0)|\{\lambda_j\}\rangle|^2,$$

where the summation is taken over all the $(N-1)$-body eigenstates. The form factor $\langle\{\mu_k\}|\Psi(0)|\{\lambda_j\}\rangle$ is reduced to $N$ scalar products $\langle\{\mu_k\}|\{\lambda_j\}_{j \neq l}\rangle$ [24], which are represented by the determinants of $(N - 1) \times (N - 1)$ matrices [25]. The crucial observation is that these determinants can be summed up, giving a single determinant of an $N \times N$ matrix [26]. This algebraic manipulation greatly reduces the computational task, enabling us to conduct a finite-size scaling up to a decent value of $N$.

By increasing $N$ and $L$ with their ratio held fixed, we calculate the real and imaginary parts of the quantities $\langle\{\lambda_j\}|\Psi^\dagger(x)\Psi(0)|\{\lambda_j\}\rangle$, as plotted in Fig. 1. We set the parameters as $c = 10$ and $x = 1/2$, where the unit of length is taken to be the mean distance between particles $L/N$. The energy window is taken as $[E_0, E_0 + 10]$, where $E_0$ is the ground-state energy which depends on the size of the system. We have plotted all energy eigenstates in the energy window. Figure 1 clearly shows that the fluctuations of the eigenstate expectation values become smaller with increasing the size of the system. Since the ETH states that such fluctuations vanish in the thermodynamic limit, we will refer to these fluctuations as the ETH noise, which is analyzed as follows. First, since the real part exhibits a systematic linear behavior (see Fig. 1a), we first identify the linear part by the least squares fitting and subtract it from the data. Then, the root mean square of the subtracted data gives a quantitative measure of the ETH noise which we will denote as $\sigma$. The dependence of the ETH noise on the size of the system is illustrated in Fig. 2a. This figure shows that the ETH noise decays with the number of the particles as $\sigma \propto N^{-\alpha}$, where the exponent $\alpha$ is obtained through best fitting as $\alpha = 2.06 \pm 0.01$. Second, we evaluate the ETH noise for the imaginary part from the root mean square of the data (see Fig. 1b). As with the real part, the ETH noise decays with the number of the particles as a power law (see Fig. 2b) with $\alpha = 0.784 \pm 0.006$.

These results for the real and imaginary parts of the eigenstate expectation values of the quantity $\Psi^\dagger(x)\Psi(0)$ shows that the ETH becomes better satisfied as we approach the thermodynamic limit despite the fact that the
Lieb-Liniger model is integrable, whereas previous studies showed that the ETH holds worse in integrable systems than in non-integrable chaotic systems at a fixed size of the system.

**Interplay between ETH and ERH.**—We now discuss which of the ETH and the eigenstate randomization hypothesis (ERH) dominantly contributes to thermalization. The ERH states that the expectation values of observables over each energy eigenstate fluctuate randomly. If the ERH holds, the following inequality holds which gives an upper bound on the difference between the long-time (LT) average and the microcanonical ensemble (ME) average of an observable $A$:

$$|\langle A \rangle_{\text{LT}} - \langle A \rangle_{\text{ME}}| \leq \sigma D' N_{\text{state}}^{-\gamma/(\gamma+1)},$$

(5)

where $\sigma$ quantifies the ETH noise of $A$ as described above, $D'$ is the smoothness of the weights of the initial state on each energy eigenstate, and $N_{\text{state}}$ is the number of the eigenstates in the given energy window. The parameter $\gamma$, which characterizes the randomness of the sequence $\{(E_i|A|E_i)\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}}$ (where $|E_i\rangle$'s are the energy eigenstates in the given energy window), is defined as follows (see Ref. [22] for details). By grouping the elements of the sequence $\{(E_i|A|E_i)\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}}$, $m$ by $m$ and representing each group by its average, we can define a coarse-grained sequence whose variance depends on $m$ as $\sigma(m)^2$. If $\sigma(m)$ decays as $\sigma(m) = \sigma_A m^{-\gamma}$, we call the original sequence $\{(E_i|A|E_i)\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}}$ random with $\gamma$ characterizing the randomness. As shown in Fig. 3 the ERH holds for the Lieb-Liniger model with $\gamma = 0.55 \pm 0.06$ and $1.3 \pm 0.1$ for the real and imaginary parts, respectively (see Fig. 3 [22]). The right-hand side of (5) gives an upper bound on the difference between the long-time average and the microcanonical ensemble average and it is vanishingly small due to two independent factors. The first is the contribution that the ETH noise $\sigma_A$ becomes smaller with the scaling behavior $\sigma_A \propto N^{-\alpha}$ as shown above. The other factor $N_{\text{state}}^{-\gamma/(\gamma+1)}$ arises from the ERH mechanism. Now, we assume that $D' = O(1)$ in terms of the system size and note that $N_{\text{state}} \sim \sigma A$ in general. Then, the upper bound of (5) has the following scaling behavior:

$$\exp \left( -\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} N - \alpha \ln N \right),$$

(6)

where the first and second terms in the exponential originate from the ERH and ETH, respectively. In this sense, the ETH contributes to thermalization at most as a logarithmic correction to the ERH. Although both the ETH and ERH can make the long-time average equal to the microcanonical ensemble average, the order of magnitude is quite different. For the case of $D' \sim N_{\text{state}}^{-\beta}$, the scaling of the right-hand side of (5) becomes $\exp \left( \left( \beta - \frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1} \right) N - \alpha \ln N \right)$, so the ERH is still dominant as long as $\beta < \gamma/(\gamma+1)$.

**Rederivation from the typicality argument.**—Finally, it is interesting to note that we can rederive the scaling law (6) from the typicality argument [1, 2, 6]. The typicality concerns statistical-mechanical properties that are shared by randomly generated quantum states. Here, we follow the typicality argument and show that the long-time average is close to the microcanonical ensemble average for almost all initial states. We assume that the initial state is a linear combination of the many-body eigenstates in an energy window: $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}} C_i |E_i\rangle$, where the set of the coefficients $\{C_i\}_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}}$ satisfy the normalization condition $\sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}} |C_i|^2 = 1$. Namely, 2$N_{\text{state}}$ parameters $\text{Re } C_i$ and $\text{Im } C_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_{\text{state}}$) can be represented as a point on the $(2N_{\text{state}} - 1)$-dimensional sphere whose radius is 1. We denote the average over the uniform measure on the high-dimensional sphere as an overline. For example, $\bar{C}_i = 0$ and $|\bar{C}_i|^2 = 1/N_{\text{state}}$. Then, the initial-state averaging of the long-time average is given by

$$\langle A \rangle_{\text{LT}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}} |C_i|^2 \langle E_i|A|E_i \rangle$$

$$= N_{\text{state}}^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{\text{state}}} \langle E_i|A|E_i \rangle = \langle A \rangle_{\text{ME}},$$

(7)

and the variance is obtained as

$$V_A = \langle (A)_{\text{LT}} - \langle A \rangle_{\text{ME}}^2 \rangle = \frac{\sigma_A^2}{N_{\text{state}} + 1}.$$  

(8)

Thus, the typical magnitude of the difference between the long-time average and the microcanonical ensemble average is given by $\sqrt{V_A} \sim \sigma A N_{\text{state}}^{-1/2}$. Since $\sigma A \propto N^{-\alpha}$
and $N_{\text{state}} \sim e^{N}$, the scaling of the typical magnitude of the difference turns out to be

$$\exp \left( -\frac{1}{2}N - \alpha \ln N \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

The first and second terms in the exponential correspond to the contributions from the typicality and ETH, respectively. This has a form similar to (6) and shows again that the ETH is a logarithmic correction to the typicality. It is remarkable that while the scaling law (6) has been obtained based on a specific model, the typicality argument does not refer to the details of the system but only rely on the immense dimensionality of the Hilbert space with a uniform Haar measure.

**Conclusions and discussions.**— In this Letter, we have conducted a finite-size scaling analysis of the ETH by applying the algebraic Bethe ansatz method to the Lieb-Liniger model, and shown that the ETH noise vanishes as a power law of the size of the system even for an integrable system. Thus, we have identified the quantitative relations between the ETH and other scenarios for thermalization. We find that the contribution of the ETH to thermalization is at most a logarithmic correction to that of the ERH or typicality. This fact originates from the fact that the ETH is the effect of the order of some powers of the degree of freedom of the system, whereas the ERH and the typicality argument utilize the immense dimensionality of the Hilbert space which grows exponentially with increasing the degrees of freedom. The scaling relation (6) which includes the ETH as a small correction to other factors is obtained by invoking the properties of energy eigenstates. However, the fact that it has been rederived by the typicality (see Eq. (9)), which relies only on the structure of the Hilbert space, strongly suggests that the obtained relation holds quite generally. This finding merits further study.
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