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Abstract—Acting on time-critical events by processing ever growing social media or news streams is a major technical challenge. Many of these data sources can be modeled as multi-relational graphs. Continuous queries or techniques to search for rare events that typically arise in monitoring applications have been studied extensively for relational databases. This work is dedicated to answer the question that emerges naturally: how can we efficiently execute a continuous query on a dynamic graph? This paper presents an exact subgraph search algorithm that exploits the temporal characteristics of representative queries for online news or social media monitoring. The algorithm is based on a novel data structure called the Subgraph Join Tree (SJ-Tree) that leverages the structural and semantic characteristics of the underlying multi-relational graph. The paper concludes with extensive experimentation on several real-world datasets that demonstrates the validity of this approach.

I. INTRODUCTION

Social networks, social media websites and mainstream news media are driving an exponential growth in online content. This information barrage presents both a formidable challenge and an opportunity to applications that thrive on situational awareness. Examples of such applications include emergency response, cyber security, intelligence and finance [1], [2] where the data stream is monitored continuously for specific events. Timeliness of the detection carries paramount importance for such applications. The applications derive their competitive edge from fast detection as late detection may not have much value due to incurred damage to resources. Our work is motivated by queries that look for rare events, have a time constraint on the time to discovery and never need a bulk retrieval of historic data due to their monitoring nature.

Continuous queries evolved in the field of relational databases to address applications with precisely the above characteristics. A continuous query system is defined as one where a query logically runs continuously over time as opposed to being executed intermittently [3], [4]. Thus, continuous query processing is data-driven or trigger oriented. Many of the prominent news or social media streams can be represented as multi-relational data sources. Multi-relational graphs are often an attractive representation for data sources with sparsity. The problem of monitoring events in such data streams can be viewed as continuously searching the dynamic graph for patterns that represent events of interest. Following is a use case where such continuous monitoring is required.

An emergency control center in Seattle continuously receives updates from news and social media about accidents or other emergencies in Washington state, USA. As the messages stream in, an operator needs to detect when an accident happens as soon as possible, evaluate the emergency and mobilize the emergency responders within 10 minutes.

Fig. 1 shows a graph pattern that represents such an event. An operator may substitute the “keyword” with “fire” or “accident” and register several queries. Articles refer to articles in news as well as social media posts. This query will capture events that are reported from the same location. Observe that we specify the label for only one vertex in this query, the rest of the vertices have only type specified. Therefore, we are using the labeled vertex to anchor into a context and report events that are reported from the same location. Observe that the challenges in applying traditional graph search techniques
to this problem. Unless carefully adapted, a standard search function will search the entire data graph repeatedly and retrieve the same search results. Also, many of the best performing graph search algorithms rely on indexing the graph. Even with an interval as large as 5 minutes, rebuilding the index of a massive graph repeatedly is infeasible. This motivates exploration of incremental algorithms for continuous queries, although the general problem of incremental subgraph isomorphism is proven to be NP-complete as well [5].

Queries like the one shown in Fig. 1 share a number of common attributes. First, they all involve an implicit time window to suggest the timeliness aspect associated with the query. Clearly, the length of the time window varies depending on the application context. The average monitoring time window for a high volume social media stream may be in tens of minutes whereas the equivalent period for online news may be in hours or days. Second, all these queries aim to discover a number of temporal events that share the same context, such as a common set of keywords and location. Lastly, a multi-relational graph often takes the form of k-partite graphs [6], [7] where each partite set represents a group of entities of the same type. For queries as ones described in Fig. 1 each event that is represented by an article or a tweet can be viewed as a k-partite subgraph.

We exploit these three features to implement a continuous query processing framework for multi-relational graphs. First, by utilizing a rolling time window we continuously prune partial search results that would otherwise need to be tracked and would contribute to the combinatorial growth in memory utilization. Second, the temporal property of the vertices and edges representing events suggests that it is logical to search for distinct subgraphs where such “temporal” vertices or edges are ordered, thus significantly reducing the search space. Finally, we take advantage of the multi-relational structure of the data and the characteristics of temporal events to avoid expensive joins. Given a multi-relational query graph we decompose it in a hierarchical fashion. We design a data structure called the SJ-Tree (Subgraph Join Tree), or henceforth referred as the SJ-Tree to model the hierarchical decomposition and store matches with various subgraphs of the query graph as represented in the tree. We refer to the smallest units of the decomposed query graph as “search primitives”, which almost always consists of more than one edge. As new edges arrive over time, we continuously perform (a) “local searches” to look for matches with the search primitives and (b) use the decomposition structure to “join” them into progressively larger matches. This represents a middle ground between the periodic application of a graph search algorithm on the data graph and the approach that would have been employed by a traditional stream database. Stream databases have no alternative but to model each edge in the query graph as a separate join operator. Our model can express this degenerate case where an edge is represented as a search primitive in the SJ-Tree, but the performance is extremely poor. By grouping subgraphs into search primitives, we can simplify the query plan, significantly improve performance by multiple orders of magnitude, and perhaps most importantly, reason about the trade-offs involved and explore a large space of possible optimizations.

A. Contributions

Our contributions from this research are summarized below.

1. We introduce a data structure called SJ-Tree for query graph decomposition (section IV) and present a novel subgraph search algorithm [VI] for continuous queries on dynamic multi-relational graphs.

2. We present query optimizations that significantly improve the query processing performance by accounting for the temporal nature of the data graph (section VII).

3. We present a query-decomposition algorithm (section V) that given the query graph and information about the data graph, exploits both structural and semantic characteristics of these graphs and produces a SJ-Tree for the query graph.

4. We compare our performance with the incremental subgraph isomorphism algorithm developed by Fan et al. [5] and show that our approach provides improvements by multiple orders of magnitude (section VIII).

5. We present a series of experiments on representative online news (New York Times), co-authorship networks (DBLP) and social media data sources (Tencent Weibo) modeled as multi-relational graphs. The scale of these datasets are orders of magnitude larger than previously reported research [5], [8] in the literature (section VIII).

6. We present a theoretical model for complexity analysis of both query decomposition and the search algorithm. We also provide an extensive experimental analysis of the algorithm’s performance as a function of the frequency distribution of vertex labels for verification of the theoretical model.

Fig. 2. More examples of monitoring queries on multi-relational graphs. The query at the top can be used to discover events in a certain context. Set one of the keywords to “Oil” and run the query to discover various events that center around oil, such as price movements, discovery, accident etc. By setting the keyword to “buyout”, the bottom query can be used to detect when news surface about a merger between two companies.

B. Problem Statement

Every edge in a dynamic graph has a timestamp associated with it and therefore, for any subgraph $g$ of a dynamic graph we can define a time interval $\tau(g)$ which is equal to the interval between the earliest and latest edge belonging to $g$. Given a
dynamic multi-relational graph $G_d$, a query graph $G_q$ and a
time window $t_W$, we report whenever a subgraph $g_d$ that is
isomorphic to $G_q$ appears in $G_d$ such that $\tau(g_d) < t_W$. The
isomorphic subgraphs are also referred to as matches in the
subsequent discussions. If $M(G^d_k)$ is the cumulative set of all
matches discovered until time step $k$ and $E_{k+1}$ is the set of
graphs that arrive at time step $k+1$, we present an algorithm
to compute a function $f(G_d, G_q, E_{k+1})$ which returns the
incremental set of matches that result from updating $G_d$ with
$E_{k+1}$ and is equal to $M(G^d_{k+1}) - M(G^d_k)$. We assume that
the graph only receives edge inserts and no deletions.

II. BACKGROUND
A. Multi-Relational Graphs

Single relational graphs have been widely used to model
systems comprised of homogeneous elements related by a
single type of relation. A social network where vertices represen-
tate people and edges represent connections between people
is an example of a single-relational graph. A multi-relational
graph becomes a useful construct for modeling heterogeneous
relations between a possibly heterogeneous set of entities.

Definition 2.1.1 Multi-Relational Graph A multi-
relational graph denoted as $G = (V, E)$, is a graph represen-
tation of a multi-relational database. If the database contains
$K$ entity types as $E_1, ..., E_K$, then the vertex set $V(G)$ is
partitioned into $K$ sets $V_1, ..., V_K$. For any vertex $v \in V_k$, the
label for the vertex is represented from the domain of the entity
type $E_k$. The edges of the graph are the relations between various entities as indicated in an entity-relation model. Thus,
an edge in the graph $e \in E(G)$, $e = (v_i, v_j)$ is an instance of
a relation $R_{ij}$ between entities $E_i$ and $E_j$.

A graph representation of such a multi-relational database
takes the form of a $K$-partite graph [7], if there are no relations
between homogeneous entities or equivalently, if there are no edges between vertices that belong to the same partite set. In
practice, such relationships are not rare. Examples of such
linkages are citation links between articles and social ties
to members in a network. However, we omit unary
relationships from our multi-relational model. Our omission
of unary relationships is driven by usability and a desire for
simplicity. Fig. 1 and 2 show a number of examples embodying
a range of events. Consider the example in Fig. 2 that detects
a series of articles that refer to the same set of keywords;
one may wish to introduce unary relationships in the graph
to indicate citation between articles and thus, focus only on
articles with high citation counts. However, such queries
may be alternatively represented by adding a query constraint to the
vertices that require them to have a minimum degree. Or, such
relations could be represented using an intermediate vertex of
a different type. Thus, for the scope of this work we define
patterns of interest as query graphs that are subgraphs of the
$K$-partite multi-relational graph.

B. Continuous Queries

A continuous query can be described as computing a
function $f$ over a stream $S$ continuously over time and
notifying the user whenever the output of $f$ satisfies a user-
defined constraint [3]. They are distinguished from ad-hoc query
processing by their high selectivity (looking for unique events) and need to detect newer updates of interest as opposed
to retrieving lots of past information. In this paradigm the
primary objective is to notify a listener as soon as the query
is matched. One may view conventional databases as passive
repositories with large collections of data that work in a
request-response model whereas continuous queries are data-
driven or trigger oriented. These features coupled with real-
time demands challenge many of the fundamental assumptions
for conventional databases and establish continuous query
processing on relational data streams as a major research
area. The literature on database research from the past two
decades is abundant with work on continuous query systems
[9], [10]. Babcock et al. [11] provide an excellent overview
of continuous query systems and their design challenges.

C. Graph Queries

Graph querying techniques have been studied extensively in
the field of pattern recognition over nearly four decades [12].
Our work is focused on subgraph isomorphism which is as
defined as follows.

Definition 2.2.1 Subgraph Isomorphism Given the
query graph $G_q$ and a matching subgraph of the data graph
$G_d$, a matching between $G_q$ and $G_d$ involves finding a
bijective function $f : V(G_q) \rightarrow V(G_d)$ such that for any two vertices $u_1, u_2 \in V(G_q)$, $(u_1, u_2) \in E(G_q) \Rightarrow
(f(u_1), f(u_2)) \in E(G_d)$.

Two popular subgraph isomorphism algorithms were de-
developed by Ullman [13] and Cordella et al. [14]. The VF2
algorithm [14] employs a filtering and verification strategy and outperforms the original algorithm by Ullman. However,
both these approaches perform the search without using any
pre-processed information. Over the past decade, the database
community has focused strongly on developing indexing and
query optimization techniques to speed up the searching
process. A common theme of such approaches is to index
vertices based on k-hop neighborhood signatures derived from
labels and other properties such as degrees, spectral properties
and centrality [15]–[19]. Other major areas of work involve
join-order optimization [18], [20] and application of search
techniques for alternative representations such as similarity
search in a multi-dimensional vector space [21]. Apart from
neighborhood based signatures, graph sketches is an important
area that focuses on generating different synopses of a graph
data set [22], [23]. Development of efficient graph sketching
algorithms and their applications into query estimation is
expected to gain prominence in near future.

III. RELATED WORK

Investigation of subgraph isomorphism for dynamic graphs
did not receive much attention until recently. It introduces new
algorithmic challenges because we can-not afford to index a
dynamic graph frequently enough for applications with real-
time constraints. In fact this is a problem with searches on
large static graphs as well [24]. There are two alternatives in that direction. We can search for a pattern repeatedly or we can adopt an incremental approach. The work by Fan et al. [3] presents incremental algorithms for graph pattern matching. However, their solution to subgraph isomorphism is based on the repeated search strategy. Chen et al. [8] proposed a feature structure called the node-neighbor tree to search multiple graph streams using a vector space approach. They relax the exact match requirement and require significant pre-processing on the graph stream. Our work is distinguished by its focus on temporal queries and handling of partial matches as they are tracked over time using a novel data structure. From a data-organization perspective, the SJ-Tree approach has similarities with the Closure-Tree [25]. However, the closure-tree approach assumes a database of independent graphs and the underlying data is not dynamic. There are strong parallels between our algorithm and the very recent work by Sun et al. [24], where they implement a query-decomposition based algorithm for searching a large static graph in a distributed environment.

Our work is distinguished by the focus on continuous queries that involves maintenance of partial matches as driven by the query decomposition structure, and optimizations for real-time query processing.

IV. INCREMENTAL QUERY PROCESSING

A. Naive Approach

We begin with a simplistic solution to motivate an incremental approach for continuous query processing, lets call it PROCESS-BATCH-NAIVE. For every new edge that is added to $G_d$, we search if the edge matches any edge in the query graph. This check can be performed minimally by examining 1) if there are edges in the query graph with the same type as the new edge and 2) if the endpoint vertices of the new edge match with the corresponding edges in the query graph.

Let’s assume that the query graph contains the following edges, $E_q^1 = \{A, B\}$ and $E_q^2 = \{B, C\}$ where $A$, $B$, and $C$ are vertices in the query graph. Further assume that an edge from the search graph $E_s^* = \{P, Q\}$ matches with $E_q^1$. We represent this match as $M_0 = \{E^1_q, E^1_s\}$. Next, two more search graph edges $E^3_s = \{Q, R\}$ and $E^3_s = \{Q, S\}$ arrive and they match with $E^3_q$. Both find that they have a common vertex with $M_0$, and add the respective matching edge data to $E^4_q$. A simple illustration of this matching process is shown in Fig. 3. We call this operation to extend an existing match structure by adding a new edge mapping information AUGMENT-MATCH.

We describe this process in Algorithm 1. Let $M_s$ and $M_t$ are set of matches that contain $v_s$ and $v_t$. Once a partial match that contained one of the endpoint vertices ($v_s$) of the new edge is augmented, we also need to see if it can be extended further by exploring the neighborhood of the other vertex ($v_t$) connected to this edge. This can be accomplished by looking up the set of partial matches ($M_i^t$) that contain $v_t$ and trying to extend the newly augmented match in conjunction with each member of $M_i^t$. The operation of combining two partial matches and producing a larger match is indicated by EDGE-BASED-JOIN. This process is symmetrically repeated for $v_t$.

While intuitively simple, Algorithm 1 falls prey to combinatorial explosion very quickly. It finds the match with the query graph at the cost of creating many partial matches. Assume that the $G_d$ receives a large number of edges that match with $E_q^1$. This would add a lot of partial matches that contains mapping information for $E_q^1$. Subsequently, every future edge that matches with $E_q^2$ will need to be matched or checked against all the existing partial matches for an AUGMENT-MATCH operation. While the subgraph matching problem has an inherent exponential nature associated with it, a better algorithm will restrict the growth of the number of partial matches to track and still produce the correct result.

### Algorithm 1 PROCESS-BATCH-NAIVE($G_d, G_q, M, edges$)

1. for all $e \in edges$ do
2. $v_s = source[e]$
3. $v_t = target[e]$
4. $M_s = \{m \in M | v_s \in V(m)\}$
5. $M_t = \{m \in M | v_t \in V(m)\}$
6. $M_s^t = M_s - M_t$
7. $M_t^s = M_t - M_s$
8. $M_{st} = M_s \cap M_t$
9. for $i = 1 \to size[M_0]$ do
10. list = AUGMENT-MATCH($G_d, G_q, M_0, e, v_s$)
11. $M = M \cup$ EDGE-BASED-JOIN(list, $M^t_i$, $e$)
12. for $i = 1 \to size[M_0]$ do
13. list = AUGMENT-MATCH($G_d, G_q, M_0, e, v_t$)
14. $M = M \cup$ EDGE-BASED-JOIN(list, $M^s_i$, $e$)
15. for $i = 1 \to size[M_{st}]$ do
16. $M = M \cup$ AUGMENT-MATCH($G_d, G_q, M_{st}, e$)
17. matches = FIND-MATCHES($G_d, G_q, v_s$)
18. if size[matches] = 0 then
19. $M = M \cup \{matches\}$
B. Our Approach

We consider exploiting the characteristics of the data and query graphs to reduce the amount of intermediate information for tracking partial matches. One possible solution is to create or augment a partial match with an incoming edge when the new information has a higher probability of leading to a complete match. This could involve searching for motif subgraphs that have a higher probability of leading to a complete match.

Our objective is to introduce an approach that guides the search process to look for specific subgraphs of the query graph and follow specific transitions from small to larger graphs. Conceptually, this is an index structure where keys track the subgraphs corresponding to its children. Thus, for a given node \( n \), if \( n_l = \text{left}(n) \) and \( n_r = \text{right}(n) \) are the left and right child of \( n \), then \( V_{SG}(n_l) \cap V_{SG}(n_r) \neq \emptyset \). Given two graphs \( G_1 = (V_1, E_1) \) and \( G_2 = (V_2, E_2) \), the join operation is defined as \( G_3 = G_1 \bowtie G_2 \), such that \( G_3 = (V_3, E_3) \) where \( V_3 = V_1 \cup V_2 \) and \( E_3 = E_1 \cup E_2 \).

1) Each node in the SJ-Tree maintains a set of matching subgraphs. We define a function \( \text{matches}(n) \) that for any node \( n \in N_T \), returns a set of subgraphs of the search graph. If \( M = \text{matches}(n) \), then \( \forall G_m \in M, G_m \equiv V_{SG}(n) \).

2) Each internal node \( n \) in the SJ-Tree maintains a subgraph, \( \text{CUT-SUBGRAPH}(n) \) that equals the intersection of the query subgraphs of its child nodes. For each \( n \in N_T \) such that \( \text{NUM-CHILDREN}(n) > 0 \), \( \text{CUT-SUBGRAPH}(n) = V_{SG}(n_l) \cap V_{SG}(n_r) \), where \( n_l = \text{left}(n) \) and \( n_r = \text{right}(n) \). When \( \text{NUM-CHILDREN}(n) = 0 \), \( \text{CUT-SUBGRAPH}(n) = \emptyset \).

3) For any internal node \( n \) \( n \in N_T \) such that \( \text{CUT-SUBGRAPH}(n) \neq \emptyset \), we also define a projection operator \( \Pi \) as follows.

Assume that \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \) are isomorphic, \( G_1 \equiv G_2 \). Also define \( \Phi_V \) and \( \Phi_E \) as functions that define the bijective mapping between the vertices and edges of \( G_1 \) and \( G_2 \). Consider \( g_1, a \) subgraph of \( G_1; g_1 \subseteq G_1 \). Then \( g_2 = \Pi(G_2, g_1) \) is a subgraph of \( G_2 \) such that \( V(g_2) = \Phi_V(V(g_1)) \) and \( E(g_2) = \Phi_E(E(g_1)) \).

4) Each node \( n \) \( n \in N_T \) stores \( \text{matches}(n) \) via a table of key-value pairs where the key is the projection of the query graph and the value is the matching subgraph in the data graph. Observe that it is possible to have many matching subgraphs with the same key, and the table maintains one-to-many mappings for each projected data subgraph.

Conceptually, this is an index structure where keys track the occurrence of matching subgraphs in the data graph. Our decision to use a binary tree as opposed to an n-ary tree is influenced by the simplicity and lowering the combinatorial cost of joining matches from multiple children. Selection of a left-deep vs. bushy trees is a well-studied problem in literature.

C. Subgraph Join Tree (SJ-Tree)

We introduce a tree structure called Subgraph Join Tree (SJ-Tree) that supports the above intuitions for implementing a join order based on selectivity of substructures of the query graph.

**Definition 4.1.1** A SJ-Tree \( T \) is defined as a binary tree comprised of the node set \( N_T \). Each \( n \in N_T \) corresponds to a subgraph of the query graph \( G_q \). Let’s assume \( V_{SG} \) is the set of corresponding subgraphs and \( |V_{SG}| = |N_T| \). Additional properties of the SJ-Tree are defined below.

1) The subgraph corresponding to the root of the SJ-Tree is isomorphic to the query graph. Thus, for \( n_r = \text{root}(T) \), \( V_{SG}(n_r) \equiv G_q \).
2) The subgraph corresponding to any internal node of \( T \) is isomorphic to the output of the join operation between the subgraphs corresponding to its children. Thus, for a given node \( n \), if \( n_l = \text{left}(n) \) and \( n_r = \text{right}(n) \) are the left and right child of \( n \), then \( V_{SG}(n_l) \cap V_{SG}(n_r) \neq \emptyset \). Given two graphs \( G_1 = (V_1, E_1) \) and \( G_2 = (V_2, E_2) \), the join operation is defined as \( G_3 = G_1 \bowtie G_2 \), such that \( G_3 = (V_3, E_3) \) where \( V_3 = V_1 \cup V_2 \) and \( E_3 = E_1 \cup E_2 \).

V. QUERY GRAPH PARTITIONING

With the SJ-Tree data structure in mind, the next task is to automatically decompose a query graph \( G_q \) to create a SJ-Tree. Broadly our aim is to decompose the query graph into a number of smaller graphs, which we refer to as search primitives, and perform local searches for these primitives. We use the term local search to refer to a subgraph search performed in the neighborhood of an edge in the data graph for a small query subgraph. The primitives are restricted to small and selective query subgraphs to keep the local search efficient. Algorithm [26] outlines the process to partition the query into several smaller graph primitives, and then create the SJ-Tree.
Algorithm 2 SJ-Tree Creation
1: procedure CREATE-SJ-TREE($G_q, G_d, k, root$)
2: \[T = INIT-SJ-TREE(\emptyset)\]
3: \[v = \{v \in V(G_q)|\text{argmax}(\text{Score}(v, G_q, G_d))\}\]
4: \[\text{root} = \text{CREATE-NODE}(T, \text{NEIGHBORS}(v, G_q))\]
5: \[G_q^1 = \text{TRUNCATE-GRAPH}(G_q, E(V_{SG}\{\text{root}\}))\]
6: \[i = 1\]
7: \[\text{while } E(G_q,v) \neq \emptyset \text{ do}\]
8: \[v = \{v \in V(G_q)|\text{argmax}(\text{Score}(v, G_q, G_d))\}
\quad \text{and } \text{NEIGHBORS}(v, G_q) \neq \emptyset\]
9: \[\text{right} = \text{CREATE-NODE}(T, \text{K-NBRS}(v, G_q))\]
10: \[G_q^{i+1} = \text{TRUNCATE-GRAPH}(G_q, E(V_{SG}\{\text{right}\}))\]
11: \[\text{left} = \text{root}\]
12: \[\text{root} = \text{create-node}(\text{GRAPH-UNION}(\text{left}, \text{right}))\]
13: \[\text{root.cut-subgraph} = \text{GRAPH-INTERSECTION}(\text{left}, \text{right})\]
14: \[\text{BUILD-SUBTREE}(\text{root}, \text{left}, \text{right})\]
15: \[i = i + 1\]
16: return root
17: end procedure
18: procedure SCORE($v, G_q, G_d$)
19: \[N = \text{NEIGHBORS}(v, G_q)\]
20: \[\text{score} = (\text{deg}(v) \times (\text{max-time}(G_q)/\text{min-time}(N)))\]
21: if \[\text{label}(v) \text{ is known from } G_q\] then
22: \[\text{score} = \text{score}/\text{deg}(\text{label}(v))\]
23: else
24: \[\text{score} = \text{score}/\text{deg}(\text{type}(v))\]
25: return score
26: end procedure

Fig. 4. Illustration of query decomposition as it happens in SJ-Tree. The root represents the original query graph whereas its children and other nodes represent progressively smaller query subgraphs.

Based on our selectivity criterion in a bottom-up fashion. An important goal of the decomposition process is to push the most selective subgraph to the lowest level of the SJ-Tree to reduce the number of partial matches.

In order to compute these search primitives, we extract the neighborhood of each vertex based on its selectivity. We compute the selectivity of a vertex based on a score function that is similar to the term frequency-inverse document frequency (TF-IDF) weighting in information retrieval. The score function shown in Procedure SCORE (line 18) rewards a vertex for having high degree and a neighborhood which starts to form earliest in time-stamp order in the query graph. It however penalizes a vertex for having a high degree label or type in the data graph. The intuition behind the score function is that a high degree vertex in the query graph will have a lower number of matches in the data graph than the lower degree vertices of the query graph. At the same time, for real time event detection applications, we want to be able to detect an event exactly as it happens and know how close we are to seeing the entire event manifest. This makes it necessary to factor in the time-stamp associated with the neighborhood, as edges and vertices that appear earlier need to be matched as early as possible. Finally a high degree vertex label (labels uniquely identify vertices) in the data graph will make the local search costly as a large number of its incident edges have to be checked for matches, hence this is inversely proportional to the selectivity. If only the vertex type is known we use the average degree of the vertex type of the vertex.

Once we have an ordered list of vertices, we extract the neighborhood of the vertex with highest selectivity and create a node containing this subgraph (line 4). We then remove this vertex, the edges selected from the query graph as well as any nodes in the query graph which might have become 0-degree by these removals in the function TRUNCATE-GRAPH (lines 5 and 10). If the SJ-Tree is empty then we denote this new node as the root. Otherwise we create a new root using the union of the subgraphs in the current root and the newly created node. We then make the current root the left child of the new root and the node containing the primitive the right child (lines 11-14). Next, the scores are recomputed for the remaining vertices in the now smaller query graph and this procedure is repeated iteratively. This algorithm produces a SJ-Tree as shown in Fig. 4 if we make the assumption here that the vertices containing a particular keyword and location label have very high degree, and the “article” vertex type has much lower average degree. Article 1 denotes the article with the lowest number of matches in the data graph than the lower type in the data graph. The intuition behind the score function shown in Procedure SCORE (line 18) rewards a vertex for having high degree and a neighborhood which starts to form earliest in time-stamp order in the query graph.

The time complexity of the scoring algorithm is $O(d_q)$, where $d_q$ is the average degree of a vertex in the query. We assume that the degree of data graph vertex labels and average degree of the data graph vertex types are precomputed. The algorithm takes $O(n)$ time to find the maximum score and 0-degree vertex removal in the worst case, where $n$ is the order of the query graph. The loop body execution time is dominated by the search for the maximum scoring vertex which has an vertex-disjoint neighborhood with the graph in its sibling node in the SJ-Tree and is $O(n^2)$. Thus, the total time taken by the
loop is $O(mn^2)$ where $m$ is the size of the query graph. Currently the SJ-Tree is created only once per query graph based on the initial batch of edges. For adaptive stream based processing, the SJ-Tree can be created periodically. If the underlying data distribution changes significantly to force a different query plan then we will need to use the newly generated tree and “migrate” existing matches to the new structure. Investigating the details are part of our future work.

VI. CONTINUOUS QUERY ALGORITHM

We present a subgraph search algorithm (Algo. 3 and 4) that utilizes the SJ-Tree structure (referred to as $T$). The search process is illustrated in Fig. 5. Fig. 5a shows the query graph and Fig. 5b shows a snapshot of the data graph where a match appears over time.

Algorithm 3 PROCESS-CONT-QUERY($G_d$, T, edges)

1: leaf-nodes = GET-LEAF-NODES(T)
2: for all $e_s \in$ edges do
3:    UPDATE-GRAPH($e_s$)
4:    for all $n \in$ leaf-nodes do
5:        $g_{sub} =$ GET-QUERY-SUBGRAPH($T$, $n$)
6:        matches = LOCAL-SEARCH($G_d$, $g_{sub}$)
7:        if matches $\neq \emptyset$ then
8:            for all $m \in$ matches do
9:                T.UPDATE-SJ-TREE($n$, $m$)

A. Local Search

Our proposed subgraph matching algorithm contains two primary tasks. First, for every incoming edge we perform a local search to detect a match with the smallest subgraphs associated with the leaves of the SJ-Tree. Given a match, we check to see if it can be combined with any of the existing matches maintained in the SJ-Tree to produce a larger match. When a match is found with the subgraph corresponding to the leaf node of the SJ-Tree, we initialize a match structure and insert it into the collection maintained at that leaf node. This process is described in Algorithm 3.

We implement an exact search algorithm for performing the local search. It runs a subgraph isomorphism check around the neighborhood of the incoming edge. The degree information of vertices, the type of vertices and edges and labels when available, is used to filter candidates in the search process. The query decomposition often reduces the local search to performing star queries where the center of the query is the vertex representing a temporal event. The peripheral vertices of the star query are the other entities that represent various attributes of the event. For most of the events seen from a wide range of data analysis, the vertices representing a temporal event have relatively small degree. Therefore, the search is typically fast. Further, in the context of real-time search, if the current time is $t$ and the query specifies a time window of length $t_w$ (see section VII-B for details on temporal query optimizations) then all edges that have a timestamp older than $(t - t_w)$ are ignored from the search.

B. Partial Match Aggregation

Remember that the SJ-Tree is a binary tree. Therefore, upon insertion of a match into a leaf node we check to see if it can be combined with any matches that are contained in the collection maintained at its sibling node. The process of combining matches is described in the next subsection. Due to the way the SJ-Tree is constructed (Prop. 2, Sec. IV-C), a combined subgraph will be a match for the parent node in the SJ-Tree. Thus a successful combination of matching subgraphs between the leaf or intermediate node and its sibling node leads to the insertion of a larger match at the respective parent node. This process is repeated as long as larger matching subgraphs can be produced by moving up in the SJ-Tree. A complete match is found when two matches belonging to the children of the root node are combined successfully. This process is described in Algorithm 4.

For implementation purposes, each node in the SJ-Tree stores the ids of the sibling node and the parent node. The query subgraphs corresponding to the leaf nodes in the SJ-Tree are isomorphic for query graphs shown in Fig. 1 and 2. For such cases, only the bottom left-most leaf node in the SJ-Tree stores the smallest matches. This avoids redundancy and ensures that a matching subgraph isn’t compared with itself repeatedly. When the smallest partial match is initiated we first check to see if it can be joined with existing partial matches in the tree prior to inserting in the tree. This is done by checking with the largest partial match first and then following the descending order of partial match size. In terms of the left-deep SJ-Tree structure, the checks begin with the partial matches stored at the left-child of the root and then progressively moving down in the tree (Fig. 4).

Algorithm 4 UPDATE-SJ-TREE(node, m)

1: sibling = sibling[node]
2: parent = parent[node]
3: $k =$ GET-JOIN-KEY(CUT-SUBGRAPH[parent], m)
4: $H_s =$ H[sibling]
5: $M_s^k =$ GET($H_s$, $k$)
6: for all $m_s \in M_s^k$ do
7:     $m_{sup} =$ JOIN($m_s$, $m$)
8:     if parent = root then
9:         PRINT(‘MATCH FOUND : ’, $m_{sup}$)
10:     else
11:        UPDATE-SJ-TREE(parent, $m_{sup}$)
12:     ADD(matches[node], $m$)
13:     ADD($H$[node], $k$, $m$)

C. Partial Match Join

This subsection describes the process for joining matches across two sibling nodes in the SJ-Tree (Prop. 2, Sec. IV-C). Each node in the SJ-Tree maintains a hash table that supports storing key-value pairs. The table is required to store multiple values for every key, i.e., a multimap. Whenever a new matching subgraph $g$ is added to a node $v$ in the SJ-Tree,
we compute a key value using its projection (\(\Pi(g)\)) (Prop. 5, Sec. IV-C) and insert the key and matching subgraph into the hash table. The following paragraph describes the steps for computing the key.

The projection is obtained by extracting matching vertices and edges with respect to the subgraph \(\text{CUT-SUBGRAPH}(\text{parent}(v)):\) \(\Pi(g,\text{CUT-SUBGRAPH}(\text{parent}(v)))\). The projection of a subgraph is represented as an ordered collection of vertices and edges. A string representation of this collection is hashed to obtain the key for the projected subgraph. Next, the key and the matching subgraphs are inserted into the multimap as key-value pairs. These operations are indicated by GET-JOIN-KEY in Algorithm 4. As is evident, the sibling and parent arrays (line 1, 2) store the ids of the sibling and parent for every node. An array of multimaps are maintained for all nodes in the SJ-Tree and \(H[sibling]\) or \(H_s\) is the multimap for the sibling node. The efficiency of the join operation between two subgraphs is critical as it is the most frequently invoked operation. It implements an array of constraint checks to ensure that the resultant subgraph match is valid. As a consequence of such checks, most of the calls to the join operation do not produce a larger subgraph.

### D. Complexity Analysis

There are two primary tasks in processing every edge in the continuous query algorithm, (1) performing a local search for a small subgraph of the query graph and in case of a successful search, (2) updating the SJ-Tree with the partial match. Specifically, the local search involves retrieving the neighborhood of the endpoint vertices of an incoming edge and then searching for a query subgraph. We assume that the neighborhood retrieval is an \(O(1)\) operation. For the multi-relational queries described in this paper, the local search reduces to performing a star query. Fig. 5 (above) shows an example star query that searches for a post with a location and certain keyword. The typical degree of such star queries is small and thus, the local search is cheap. Therefore, we can approximate the cost of the continuous query processing for every edge to a small constant in case of a failed local search and to that of the UPDATE-SJ-TREE() for a successful search. UPDATE-SJ-TREE() requires joins between partial matches at \(h\) levels, where \(h\) is the heigh of the SJ-Tree. We insert a partial match \(m_k\) at node \(k\) in the SJ-Tree and then lookup partial matches in the sibling node that have the same key. Partial matches that result from a successful join between \(m_k\) and the set of candidates are added to the parent node. Assuming \(M_k\) denotes the cost of this operation at node \(k\), the time complexity for updating the tree is \(O((M_k)^h)\), where \(M_k\) denotes the expected value of \(M_k\) over all nodes.

This requires potentially creating \(n_k\) subgraphs of size \(|E(VSCG(\text{parent}(k)))|\). Hence, \(M_k\) can be modeled as \(O(n_k|E(VSCG(\text{parent}(k)))|)\). If \(g_s\) is the query subgraph corresponding to the sibling node, then \(n_k\) is determined by the number of subgraphs in the data graph that satisfies the label constraints from the query graph and are isomorphic to \(g_s\). Accurate estimation of the frequency of an arbitrary subgraph is hard. Therefore, we resort to obtaining a loose bound in terms of the label constraints. Assume that \(v_q\) has the lowest degree among all labeled vertices in the query graph and \(v_s\) is the corresponding vertex in the data graph. Then \(n_k\) is bounded by \(\binom{d_q}{d_s}\), where \(d_q\) and \(d_s\) are the degrees of \(v_q\) and \(v_s\). In the following section, we show how to control the complexity of the algorithm by adding stricter constraints that reduces \(n_k\). In the experimental analysis section, we verify the performance of our algorithm by selecting vertices with progressively higher degree. The space complexity of the SJ-Tree can be derived from the total memory requirement for storing partial matches in the leaves and internal nodes of the SJ-Tree. Given that there are \(2h + 1\) nodes in the SJ-Tree, the total storage requirement is \(\sum_{i=0}^{2h+1} \sum_{j \in \text{keys}(H(i))} s_{ij}\), where the outer loop represents all nodes in the SJ-Tree, the inner loop iterates over the keys in the hash table at every node and \(s_{ij}\) is the cost of storing each partial match. On an average, the size of the inner loop is proportional to \(M_k\), and \(s_{ij}\) is \(O(|E(G_q)|)\), hence the storage complexity is \(O(h M_k|E(G_q)|)\).

### VII. Temporal Query Optimizations

While the SJ-Tree based approach provides drastic improvement over the naive approach, it does not escape the combinatorial problems associated with subgraph isomorphism. In this section, we introduce two optimizations that take advantage of continuous query features.

#### A. Temporal Ordering of Partial Matches

Suppose we have a query that attempts to find a sequence of two events with a common set of attributes. Assume that two matching events \(e_1\) and \(e_2\) are found with timestamps \(\tau_1\) and \(\tau_2\).
and \( \tau_2 \) respectively, with \( \tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \). For all practical purposes we should report the sequence \( \{e_1, e_2\} \) and ignore the out of order combination. The same idea can be applied to subgraph matching to produces matches with a canonical ordering of events. Assume we have two partial matches \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) with edge sets \( \{e_i, e_j\} \) and \( \{e_m, e_n\} \) respectively. For such an input, the join algorithm is made to reject all combinations of these two sets that do not represent a monotonic order based on timestamps. This is accomplished by computing a range of timestamps for each partial match. If \( t_{\text{low}}[M_i] \) and \( t_{\text{high}}[M_i] \) are the lowest and highest timestamp for match \( M_i \), then we require that \( t_{\text{high}}[M_1] < t_{\text{low}}[M_2] \) for joining \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \).

B. Temporal Window based Pruning

As observed earlier, the expected number of partial matches stored in the leaves of the SJ-Tree has a significant impact on the query processing performance. Given our focus on real-time event discovery, we do not need to store partial matches that are older than the time horizon associated with the application. For example, our window of interest spans 2-3 days for events from a news stream, few hours for social media or finance, and minutes in cyber security applications. A query parameter \( t_W \) is introduced to incorporate this intuition, which specifies the length of the time window for maintaining partial matches in memory. The query processor periodically prunes the SJ-Tree to remove matches that are older than \( t_W \) from the current time.

VIII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We seek to answer the following questions from the experimental analysis.

1) How does our continuous graph query algorithm compare with the state of the art? To answer this, we compare our algorithm’s performance with the InclIsoMatch algorithm presented in [5].

2) How does our query algorithm perform on real-world datasets? How can we stress test our query implementation? We provide the answers from exhaustive experimentation on three real-world datasets through systematic query selection.

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph dataset</th>
<th>vertices</th>
<th>edges</th>
<th>vertex types</th>
<th>edge types</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York Times</td>
<td>39,523</td>
<td>68,682</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBLP</td>
<td>3.158M</td>
<td>3.26M</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tencent Weibo</td>
<td>2.5M</td>
<td>89.6M</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We carried out experimental studies on three different data sources 1) a collection of online news articles spanning over three months, 2) the DBLP co-authorship network and 3) a social media dataset obtained from Tencent Weibo, a Chinese social network.

A. Testing Methodology

Our metric is the time to process increments of a fixed number of edges (1k or 100k) whichever is closer to 1% of the test dataset size. The times reported only include the time spent in the query processing section. No temporal pruning is applied to partial matches unless explicitly specified. We use the query template as shown in Fig. 6. To develop a performance model in terms of the label distribution, we sample the degree distribution of every vertex type and divide the range of the degree distributions into ten intervals. For each interval, five closest candidate vertices are selected for testing purposes. Selection of multiple vertices around each bin allows us to systematically observe the impact of increasing the degree of the labeled vertex in the query graph. We report only a subset of the entire testing results in the interest of space.

B. Experimental Setup

All the results were obtained by using a single core on a 48-core shared memory system comprising 2.3 GHz AMD Opteron 6176 SE processors and 256 GB memory. The processor cache size is 512KB and each system node has 32 GB memory attached to it. The code was compiled with g++ 4.1.2-52 with -O3 optimization flag on Linux 2.6.18-308.

C. New York Times

We use a news dataset from New York Times collected over Aug-Oct 2011[4]. Each article in the dataset contains a number of facets that belong to four type of entities. Each of the articles and facets are represented as vertices in the graph. Each edge that connects an article with a facet carries a timestamp that is the publication time of the article. Following the template shown in Fig. 6, we run a query that finds four articles where all the articles have a common keyword and location. For the location vertex we specify the labels shown in the top diagram in Fig. 7 and observe the performance. The x-axis shows the growth of the graph in terms of number of edges added and the y-axis shows the time required to process 1000 edges. The lower diagram in Fig. 7 shows results from a similar query except that it specifies a label on the keyword vertex instead of the location vertex. As the figures indicate, selecting labels that correspond to vertices with increasing degrees increases the running time of the query.

1http://data.nytimes.com
Next, we compare our approach with the IncIsoMatch algorithm described by Fan et al. \cite{5}. The VF2 algorithm \cite{14} is adapted to implement the graph search functionality as outlined in IncIsoMatch. Our graph search implementation goes beyond the constraint checking algorithms as outlined in VF2 and implements additional filtering and verification techniques. Three queries used for comparison tests are described below. We specify a label on the feature marked with † and select a label with one of the highest degrees for that vertex type. The queries are as follows: 1) Find four articles with a common keyword and a common organization†, 2) Find four articles with a common entity and a common keyword † and 3) Find four articles with a common entity and a common location †.

Fig. 8 shows a performance improvement from our algorithm by several orders of magnitude. The multiple orders of improvement in performance is attributed to the strictly ordered aggregation of partial matches in the SJ-Tree and the temporal property based optimizations. The performance gap between the processing time of the two algorithms increases as the graph grows larger. We attribute this to the nature of the IncIsoMatch where it performs a search around every new edge in the graph. The search spans all vertices around the endpoints of the new edge as long as they are within \(k\)-hops, where \(k\) is the diameter of the query graph. As the data graph grows denser, even for a query graph with small or modest size, the \(k\)-hop subgraph accumulates a large number of edges.

Fig. 7. Results from queries finding four articles with a common keyword and location. The query graph contains one labeled location (top)/keyword (bottom) vertex. The labels are chosen with increasing degree for the experiment. The degrees are indicated in the legend.

Fig. 9. Query to find two authors co-authoring four papers.
Tencent Weibo, a Chinese microblogging social network with nearly 3M+ edges. The performance of the algorithm is quite stable for a modestly time required to process 100K edges. It can be seen that the in terms of number of edges added and the y-axis shows the are shown in Fig. 10. The x-axis shows the growth of the graph select names with progressively increasing degrees. The results observe the degree distribution of the "author" vertices and four papers with a specified author (author 2) (Fig. 9). We attempts to find an author (author 1) who has co-authored and articles. The author name and the title of the article are stored as labels of respective vertices. We run a query that and the search becomes increasingly expensive.

D. DBLP Co-Authorship Network

Next, we build a multi-relational graph representation of the DBLP citation network[1] with two types of entities: authors and articles. The author name and the title of the article are stored as labels of respective vertices. We run a query that attempts to find an author (author 1) who has co-authored four papers with a specified author (author 2) (Fig. 2). We observe the degree distribution of the “author” vertices and select names with progressively increasing degrees. The results are shown in Fig. [10]. The x-axis shows the growth of the graph in terms of number of edges added and the y-axis shows the time required to process 100K edges. It can be seen that the performance of the algorithm is quite stable for a modestly large network with nearly 3M+ edges.

E. Social Media

Finally, we present our results on a data set collected from Tencent Weibo, a Chinese microblogging social network[2]. The data set provides a temporal history of item recommendations to registered users of the social network. An item could be any entity such as an organization, person or a topic that may be recommended to a user. The response from the user is either an accept or reject. The dataset provides a set of keywords describing each item and a set of keywords describing each user’s profile, if available. We build a graph with four type of vertices - users, items, keywords and categories. The following edge types indicate relationship between entities: a) item belonging to a category, b) item described by keyword, c) acceptance of recommended item, d) rejection of recommended item and e) user described by keyword.

Our test query is to detect a series of item acceptances by a group of users described by a common keyword. To put this in perspective, one can imagine when an advertiser introduces a new campaign or product and wants to monitor its feedback from the user base in real-time. Our test query graph has four user vertices, one item vertex and one keyword vertex (Fig. 11). For experimentation, we specify a label on the item and select labeled vertices with progressively increasing degrees. The query labels chosen for the social media dataset are in the 11). For experimentation, we specify a label on the item and select labeled vertices with progressively increasing degrees. The results are shown in Fig. [12]. The x-axis shows the size of the graph as measured in millions of edges. The y-axis shows the time in seconds required to process every 100k edges.

The figure suggests a clear trend. It shows that as the graph grows large the query processing time eventually rises sharply. This amplification is enabled by the scale of the dataset. It also shows that the rise happens earlier for low-selectivity queries where the specified label has higher degree in the graph. This is because the number of partial matches grows rapidly in the event of a successful search around a high degree vertex. Every partial match from the past can potentially be merged with the latest partial match, and the partial match collection grows combinatorially over time. This brings us to implementing the temporal window based pruning as outlined in section VII-B. We select the query with the highest degree label (degree(item) = 299199, Fig. [12]) for which the rise in the processing time was sharpest. We set the time window $t_W$ to 1 day and prune the SJ-Tree after processing every 5
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\[\text{degree(item)} = 1164 destinates from the user base in real-time. Our test query graph has four user vertices, one item vertex and one keyword vertex (Fig. 11). For experimentation, we specify a label on the item and select labeled vertices with progressively increasing degrees. The results are shown in Fig. [12]. The x-axis shows the size of the graph as measured in millions of edges. The y-axis shows the time in seconds required to process every 100k edges.

The figure suggests a clear trend. It shows that as the graph grows large the query processing time eventually rises sharply. This amplification is enabled by the scale of the dataset. It also shows that the rise happens earlier for low-selectivity queries where the specified label has higher degree in the graph. This is because the number of partial matches grows rapidly in the event of a successful search around a high degree vertex. Every partial match from the past can potentially be merged with the latest partial match, and the partial match collection grows combinatorially over time. This brings us to implementing the temporal window based pruning as outlined in section VII-B. We select the query with the highest degree label (degree(item) = 299199, Fig. [12]) for which the rise in the processing time was sharpest. We set the time window $t_W$ to 1 day and prune the SJ-Tree after processing every 5
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million edges. The results from the windowing enabled search is shown in Fig. [13]. Observe that the peaks in the processing time are smaller than ones observed in Fig. [12] by an order of magnitude.

This is an extremely promising result for practical applications. Figure [13] suggests that it would take 10 seconds on average to process 100k edges, or 100 seconds for a million edges. In terms of throughput, this translates into 0.01 million edges/second or 864 million edges per day. At the time of this writing, high volume data streams such as Twitter receive nearly 300-400 million posts every day. Considering that every post or user action translates into multiple edges in a graph, one may expect around billions of edges to arrive everyday. Also note that we chose an extreme example for the last experiment. For a query with modest complexity the throughput will be much higher for a temporal window enabled query processor. Thus, we believe this level of throughput on a very low-selectivity query gets us close to executing real-time graph queries on such high volume data streams.

IX. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We present a novel query graph decomposition based approach for continuous queries on multi-relational graphs. We introduce the SJ-Tree structure, whose nodes represent the hierarchical decomposition of the query graph. The SJ-Tree systematically tracks the evolving matches in the data graph as they transition from smaller to larger matches based on the query graph decomposition. We present experimental analysis on several real-world datasets such as New York Times, DBLP and Tencent Weibo and show that our SJ-Tree based algorithm coupled with temporal optimizations that clearly outperforms the state of the art [5] by multiple orders of magnitude.

Our experiments demonstrate that it is possible to execute complex multi-relational graph queries in a real-time setting. To our knowledge, the results presented in this paper are the best reported performance for such queries. Our main theoretical contribution is to demonstrate that for a prominent class of multi-relational queries where the local search is cheap, we can execute graph queries in time that is polynomial to the height of the SJ-Tree. We also present an efficient algorithm to generate a SJ-Tree for any query graph by exploiting its structural and semantic characteristics. These initial results are highly promising in that they suggest possible ways of auto-selecting optimal values for query processing parameters based on the data distribution. Query planning for 1) complex graph queries where a complete temporal ordering may not be possible, 2) trade-offs between different query decomposition strategies and 3) exploring different query classes and determining the optimal trade-off between local search and joins in the SJ-Tree represent areas of future work.
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